Integrating Legumes with Grass to Improve Forage-Livestock Systems

Over the past century, agriculture on the Texas High Plains has evolved into a $20 billion-plus industry centered largely on cotton and finishing beef cattle in feedlots.

Agriculture was made possible with fertile soils, cheap energy and fertilizers, and irrigation water from the Ogallala Aquifer – a crucial, but finite resource deep below the soil surface stretching from South Dakota to the Texas Panhandle.

With energy and other resource costs rising, and water declining in the Ogallala Aquifer, farmers are at a crossroads: Continue current unsustainable practices, or make cropping choices that conserve energy, improve soil and prolong the use of water remaining in the Ogallala.

Replacing a “systems research” approach, Texas Tech University researchers – backed by over a decade of producer-driven field studies – have compared cotton monoculture to diversified crop/livestock systems. What they have found is that systems based on or inclusive of forages and livestock require less water for irrigation and livestock use than systems based entirely on row crops.

Research continues at the Texas Tech New Deal Research Station with field trials pertaining to the integration of forages and livestock into a predominant row-crop region as a means of reducing water extraction from the Ogallala Aquifer, building soil organic matter, stabilizing soil from wind erosion, and diversifying income.

Following severe drought in the Texas High Plains in 2011 and 2012 and pasture recovery in 2013, grazing trials were restarted in 2014. A basic steer grazing
trial was conducted from 2014-2016 comparing a grass-only system of Old World Bluestem (OWB) receiving nitrogen fertilizer to an alternative (and hypothetically more sustainable) grass-legume system for animal productivity and water use efficiency.

The study also included grazing some native and teff pastures, but were used in minor amounts and didn’t have an overall impact on the results.

**Research Summary**

Research results showed that steers gained more weight, and gained it more quickly, grazing a grass-legume system of OWB, alfalfa, and yellow sweetclover, compared to the OWB alone. In addition, the grass-legume system demonstrated a lower water footprint. These trials satisfied the hypothesis that including legumes with drought-tolerant grasses can improve animal productivity at low water input.

**Research Objectives**

The three-year grazing trial (2014-2016) was the basis for graduate student research on water use efficiency of cattle production and legume composition and forage availability. As the Ogallala Aquifer decline further limits irrigation, the goal is to identify water-efficient forage systems while keeping cattle production in the Texas High Plains region profitable.

Researchers employed two innovations to test their hypothesis: Introducing alfalfa and yellow sweetclover into OWB; and creating a limited-acreage “protein bank”, consisting mostly of alfalfa, which was rotationally grazed on a limited basis.

The novel aspects of the research challenged the notion that alfalfa is a high water use crop. “That is true when managed as a hay crop when pushed to its high-yield potential,” said forage systems researcher Chuck West. “The other face of alfalfa is that it is a very deep-rooted, drought-resilient plant which can lay dormant during dry summers and recover after periodic rains. The soils of the Texas High Plains favor such a crop.”

The research also targeted legumes for their low water needs as high-protein forages that can be integrated into grasses to boost the liveweight gain in cattle with little to no increase in irrigation for the entire grazing system.

**Research Results**

Researchers limited the irrigation supply to the test pastures over the three years: 8 inches to the grass system, and 7 inches to the grass-legume, averaged over the whole system. They then measured the water footprint (groundwater used per pound of weight gain in the cattle) and found that it took around 30 percent less water to produce a pound of beef liveweight gain when adding legumes to the pasture system. When considering only pumped groundwater, the comparison was 287 gallons of water per pound of gain in the legume-grass system vs. 395 gallons of water per pound of gain in the grass-only system.

Researchers determined that 9 to 12
inches of irrigation were reasonable targets if grazing-season rainfall is less than average or above average but unevenly distributed across the season. By comparison, the amount of irrigation applied annually to cotton averages around 12 inches and for corn, around 18 inches.

“Our realization of 7-8 inches of irrigation indicates progress in providing a viable land-use option for cropland whose irrigation systems can no longer provide irrigation at high-yield levels,” said West.

Over the three-year trial, average weight gain for steers was 2.06 pounds per day in the grass-legume system, compared to only 1.74 pounds per day in the grass-alone system. The season-long weight gain per area was 188 pounds per acre and 118 pounds per acre, respectively.

In addition, forage quality averaged 14.4 percent crude protein content in the grass-legume system compared to 7 percent for the grass-alone system. This, say the researchers, explains the greater productivity of cattle on the grass-legume system.

Final Outcomes

The take-home message is that boosting forage quality by adding legumes to drought-tolerant grasses while keeping water inputs low boosts the sustainability of water use in a beef grazing system.

Researchers are currently conducting an economic analysis of the grazing trial data to round out the picture of sustainability of water use for beef cattle grazing in legume-enhanced pastures.

Studies at Texas Tech University have emphasized the transition to low-irrigation management systems that address trends that are shaping the future of Southern High Plains agriculture: Fewer overall irrigated acres and increased acres of limited-acreage, high-value crops; improvements in water use efficiency of major row crops; partial replacement of irrigated row crops with drought-tolerant grasses and legumes or dryland crops; increased use of water management technologies; and warmer temperatures leading to greater evaporative demand and more droughts.

Details of the study are outlined in the SSARE Research & Education Grant LS14-261, “Long-term Agroecosystems Research and Adoption in the Texas Southern High Plains – Phase III.”
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