## Managing Nitrogen with Cover Crops

### Steven Mirsky USDA-ARS, Beltsville, MD



## <u>Legumes</u>

- Growth not limited by soil N
- High tissue N concentration (3-4% N)
  - C:N ratio < 20
- Rapid N mineralization during decomposition
- Not very good at reducing N leaching





## **Legumes**

#### When compared to:

- 1. Mineral fertilizers
  - Slower release rates
  - Lower energy use
  - Renewable resource
- 2. Animal Waste
  - No new P
  - No transport costs
  - Low volatility





## Hairy vetch (Vicia villosa)

- Winter annual legume
- Seed at 20-30 lb/ac; 0.5-1.5" deep
- High biomass producer (4000-7000 lb/ac)
  - Produce more than 150 lb N/ac
    - Good weed control
  - Disease suppression in vegetables



## Crimson clover (Trifolium incarnatum)

- Winter annual legume
- Seed at 8-15 lb/ac; 0.25-0.5" deep
  - Biomass (1000-3500 lb/ac)
  - Produce more than 130 lb N/ac
    - Improves soil structure




## Austrian winter peas (Pisum sativum)

- Winter and spring annual
- Seed at 50-80 lb/ac; 1-2" deep
  - Biomass (1000-3500 lb/ac)
- Produce more than 130 lb N/ac
- Improves soil structure/reduces compaction




#### Total above ground plant available nitrogen from hairy vetch (lb PAN ac<sup>-1</sup>)



## **Need covers for multiple functions**

- No-till has soil conservation benefits
- Successful due to herbicides/herbicide resistant crops



## Nutrient supply vs. weed control

• Grasses: High weed suppression, low N supply




Cereal rye (Secale cereale L.)

Legumes: Low weed suppression, high N supply





Hairy vetch (Vicia villosa Roth)



а

а





а


## <u>Grasses</u>

- Tremendous N scavenging
- Erosion control
- Weed suppression as a mulch
- Growth limited by soil N
- Lower tissue N concentration (1-2%)
  - C:N ratio > 25
- Possible N immobilization during decomposition
- Excellent at reducing N leaching








# Cereal rye nitrogen content across a fall fertilizer gradient




Fall nitrogen application rate (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)










#### **C:N** ratio of hairy vetch monocultures and mixtures

#### **C:N ratios:**


Hairy vetch (16:1) Cereal rye (83:1)

50:50 = 25-30:1; N immobilization/ mineralization threshold




Hairy Vetch: Cereal Rye Biomass

#### **C:N ratio of hairy vetch monocultures and mixtures**




# Cereal rye and hairy vetch monoculture and mixture N content



Poffenbarger et al.

#### Cereal rye and hairy vetch monoculture and mixture N



Hairy Vetch:Cereal Rye Biomass

Poffenbarger et al.

### Nutrient management

*Determine the right:* rate, source, time, and placement

- Focus is on reducing potential for losses:
  - Leaching
  - Erosion
  - Volatilization
- Increasing use efficiency
  - lowers cost of production and conserves natural resources








#### Penn State Extension

## Improving nitrogen mgmt. in corn



V6: Period of greatest N uptake



University of Illinois

### Cover crop decomposition and N release







## Nitrogen release over time

N released (lb ac<sup>-1</sup>) 50 100 150 200 250 300

0



## Nitrogen release over time




## **Moving beyond C:N ratios to quality**

(decision support tools for growers; water and nitrogen)



#### Effects of hairy vetch and mineral fertilizer on corn yield




Fertilizer N, kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

#### Managing N in cereal covers (may need to adjust split application rates)



### **N** and **P** Management



#### **Balancing N needs with P concerns**

-legume cover crops + organic amendments

-apply amendments at P removal rates

- soil reserves as "buffer"

## **Poultry litter nutrient properties**


| Nutrient pool                 | lbs/ton |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| Total N                       | 60      |
| NH4-N                         | 14      |
| Org-N                         | 46      |
| PAN                           | 42      |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> | 58      |
| K <sub>2</sub> O              | 52      |
|                               |         |



Van Devender et al. 2004. Utilizing Dry Poultry Litter: An Overview.

- N-based rate: Need ~3 tons/acre to supply 140 lbs PAN/acre
  - 174 lbs P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/acre
  - 156 lbs K<sub>2</sub>O/acre
- P-based rate: Need ~1.5 tons/acre to supply 85 lbs P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/acre
  - 63 lbs PAN/acre
  - 78 lbs K<sub>2</sub>O/acre

### **Poultry litter N availability over time**



• N losses to atmosphere (~50% of NH<sub>4</sub>-N lost)

B T THE

2

H\$2320

• Nutrient losses in run-off

## Manure subsurface band applicator











#### Soil inorganic N in grass/legume cover crops over time




#### Effect of cover crop and poultry litter application method on corn yield




Hairy vetch/Cereal rye sown proportion

#### Effect of cover crop and poultry litter application method on corn yield



Hairy vetch/Cereal rye sown proportion

#### Integrated fertility management in field corn



# Questions