



**Research & Education** 

#### Western SARE

Phil Rasmussen, Coordinator Utah State University Agricultural Science Building Room 305 4865 Old Main Hill Logan, Utah 84322-4865 phone: (435) 797-2257 fax: (435) 797-3344

#### **Professional Development** Program

Michele Hébert Alaska PDP Coordinator Tanana District Agriculture and Horticulture Agent Cooperative Extension Service University of Alaska P.O. Box 75-8155 Fairbanks, AK 99775-8155 907.747412423 mahebert@alaska.edu ... Western SARE Grant

### Categories

- Research & Education
- Professional Development
- Farmer/Rancher
- Professional + Producer
- Graduate Student
- Sustainable Farm Tours

Go to http://wsare.usu.edu Click on: Apply for a Grant



United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture

### **TESTING NO-TILL FOR ALASKA**

#### **Research & Education Grant**

Title: No-Till Forage Establishment to Improve Soil and Water Conservation and Reduce Associated Production Risks

#### Project Number: SW97-012

#### Principle Investigators:

Stephen Sparrow Department Head and Professor of Agronomy Department of Plant, Animal and Soil Science University of Alaska P.O. Box 757200 Fairbanks, AK 99775-7200 907.474.7260 sdsparrow@alaska.edu

Raymond Gavlak, retired Extension Agronomy Specialist, University of Alaska

Dr. Michael Panciera Professor of Agriculture and Natural Resources Berea College Berea, KY 40404

#### Major Participants

Beth Hall, Agricultural Assistant, University of Alaska Ann Rippy, Conservation Agronomist, USDA-NRCS

#### Cooperators (Producers)

Edna Anderson, Homer Craig and Vicki Trytten, Wasilla Peter Scorup, Palmer Paul Rumley, Soldotna Henry Gettinger, Fairbanks Scott Schultz, Delta Junction

#### USDA-NRCS

Mark Kinney, Homer Calvin Steele, Wasilla Phil Naegele, Delta Junction Jim Helm, Fairbanks Cooperative Extension Service

Thomas Jahns, Kenai/Soldotna District Agent Phil Kaspari, Extension Program Assistant, Delta Junction

SARE Grant: \$99,209

#### Situation

Perennial grasses, which cover 68% of Alaska agricultural lands, need to be renovated periodically because of winter kill or stand depletion. Forage grasses are typically reestablished by reseeding into well tilled beds.

The dry, windy spring conditions that prevail in much of Alaska make it difficult to establish small-seeded crops. Spring seeding into tilled soil results in wind erosion and loss of soil moisture. Improved methods are needed for restoring degraded pastures, hay lands and grass seed fields.

#### **Objectives**

• Evaluate the efficacy of no-till planting, compared with planting into tilled soil, under various nitrogen fertilizer rates for establishing timothy (Phleum pratens L.) and



Red Clover showed promise. Photo by Robert H. Mohlenbrock, USDA-NRCS



smooth bromegrass (Bromus inermis Leyss)

- Evaluate promising annual companion crops for forage production during perennial grass establishment in no-till and conventional till planting systems
- Determine the effectiveness of no-till establishment of perennial grasses and red clover (Trifollum pretense L.) at various seeding rates
- \* Mean Annual Temperature (C) + Mean Annual Precipitation (mm)

#### Actions

Experiments were established on farmers' fields at two sites in interior Alaska and four in south-central Alaska. All soils were moderately to strongly acidic silt loam.

#### Nitrogen rate study under till and no-till

Manchar smooth bromegrass and Engmo timothy were planted at various locations under till and no-till. P and K application rates were

# WESTERN SARE Sustainable Agriculture Research & Education

SARE's mission is to advance—to the whole of American agriculture—innovations that improve profitability, stewardship, and quality of life by investing in groundbreaking research and education.

The Western Region, one of four SARE regions nationwide, is administered through Utah State University.

Western SARE: http://wsare.usu.edu

National SARE www.sare.org

Farmer Field Conditions					
Location	Field Composition	Field Qual- ity	MAT*	MAP†	Soil pH
Fairbanks	smooth bromegrass hayfield	fair stand	-2.8	304	5.6
Delta Junction	abandoned Kentucky bluegrass seed field	very poor stand	-2.3	276	5.6
Palmer	smooth bromegrass hayfield	good stand	1.9	393	5.8
Point Mackenzie	timothy hayfield	fair stand	2.2	404	5.2
Sterling	Kentucky bluegrass red fescue mix	fair stand	1.0	482	5.4
Anchor Point	timothy, native grass and forbs	fair stand	3.0	645	4.7
* Mean Annual Temperature (C) † Mean Annual Precipitation (mm)					

# **TESTING NO-TILL FOR ALASKA**

based on site soil tests. N was applied as ammonium nitrate with seed at 0, 20, 40 and 60 kg per hectare. N rates on unseeded control were 0 and 40 kg per hectare.

Companion crop study

Toral oats, Gulf Westerworld ryegrass and Dwarf Essex fodder rape were planted with Manchar smooth bromegrass at Delta Junction and with Engmo timothy at Anchor Point and Point MacKenzie under till and notill. P and K applications were based on site soil tests, and N was applied as ammonium nitrate at 40 kg per hectare. <u>Seeding rate study</u>

Three seeding rates (normal, half of normal and double normal) were direct seeded into untilled soil for Manchar smooth bromegrass (normal = 20 kg per hectare), Engmo timothy (normal = 9 kg per hectare) and Altaswede red clover (normal = 13 kg per hectare).

#### <u>Measures</u>

Plots were harvested at early heading stage, dried, weighed and analyzed for forage quality: crude protein, neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber.



Smooth Bromegrass

#### Results

Direct seeding of grass into established grass stands usually did not improve forage yields or quality. Seeding rate and N rate had little effect on establishment of newly seeded forages, especially in no-till.

Companion crops can increase total forage yields in the establishment year, but may depress perennial forage grass yields to the point that they do not recover in subsequent years. Few, if any, companion crops are likely to succeed under no-till. Direct seeding of red clover and oats into existing sod may be successful under some conditions in Alaska, but further research is needed to determine under what conditions they are likely to work.

## Impacts or Benefits on Agriculture

Based on the results of the project, the main impact for farmers in Alaska is to discourage them from using notill seeding of forage grasses or using companion crops with forage grasses in Alaska until methods to better ensure success can be developed.

At field days (25 attending Autumn Harvest Day at Point Mackenzie in August 2001) and field conferences (120 attending Alaska Forage Conference in Wasilla in February 2002), farmers expressed appreciation that the work persuaded them not to use expensive, and likely unsuccessful, practices for establishing forage crops in Alaska.

Future research should explore why the practices did not work and developing techniques that might make them work better.