



#### Western SARE

Phil Rasmussen, Coordinator Utah State University Agricultural Science Building Room 305 4865 Old Main Hill Logan, Utah 84322-4865 phone: (435) 797-2257 fax: (435) 797-3344

#### Professional Development Program

Brian Tuck Oregon PDP Co-Coordinator Oregon State University Wasco County Extension Office 400 East Scenic Dr., Suite 2,278 The Dalles, OR 97058 (541) 296-5494 Brian.Tuck@oregonstate.edu

Dan McGrath Oregon PDP Co-Coordinator Linn County Extension Office 4th & Lyons Albany, OR 97321 (541) 967-3871 Dan.McGrath@oregonstate.edu

# Western SARE Grant Categories

- Research & Education
- Professional Development
- Farmer/Rancher
- Professional + Producer
- Graduate Student
- Sustainable Farm Tours

Go to http://wsare.usu.edu Click on: Apply for a Grant

# MEASURING N IN COVER CROPS

## Situation and Objective

In many farming systems cover crops have the potential to produce enough nitrogen (N) for crop production and enough dry matter to increase soil organic matter. Optimizing the potential of these onfarm resources will increase the efficiency and competi-

## Professional + Producer Grant

**Title**: Estimating Nitrogen from Cover Crops

Project Number: FW06-301

### Project Coordinator:

Nick Andrews North Willamette Research & Extension Center 15210 NE Miley Rd. Aurora, OR 97002-9543 (503) 678-1264 x149 <u>Nick.andrews@oregonstate.e</u> du

### **Technical Advisor:**

Garry Stephenson 109 Crop Science Building Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331 (541) 737-5833 garry.stephenson@oregonsta te.edu

#### Cooperators:

Anthony Boutard, Ayers Creek Farm Jim Bronec, Praying Mantis Farm David Brown, Mustard Seed Farms Jim Hinsvark, Hinsvark Farm Laura Masterson, 47th Avenue Farm Shari Raider, Sauvie Island Organics, LLC Dan Sullivan, OSU Crop & Soil Science Dept. Amount Funded: \$19,325



A 20" tall cereal rye, common vetch and field pea stand providing an estimated 155 lbs total N and 50 lbs plantavailable N.

tiveness of farms that also rely on purchased N and dry matter sources.

Nitrogen contributions from cover crops vary widely. Farmers in the Pacific Northwest currently disregard N contributions of cover crops or use very rough rule of thumb estimates. Growers need an efficient and reliable method to estimate cover crop N when determining fertilizer rates. The extension literature includes descriptions of various on-farm estimation methods, but these methods have not previously

been compared. Our team included five farmers who determined our project objectives, chose cover crop species and hosted the trials. We compared practical on-farm techniques for estimating cover crop N with a standard research method.

## Methods

 All collaborators met to review proposed testing methods, select cover crops and plan on-farm

Separated Lab vs. Bulked Lab 2007 & 2008 data

Comparison of separated species and bulk species lab methods for estimating cover crop total N.

trials. Paired farms were seeded with the same cover crop treatments.

- Cover crop total N was measured using the standard laboratory method and each on-farm method under evaluation.
- Results using each method were compared with results from the standard laboratory method using regression analysis.
- Plant-available N (PAN) was estimated using an

# WESTERN SARF Sustainable Agriculture **Research & Education**

Western SARE, a USDA organization, funds grants for research and education that develop or promote some aspect of agricultural sustainability, which embraces

- profitable farms and ranches
- a healthy environment
- strong families and communities.

The Western Region, one of four SARE regions nationwide, is administered through Utah State University.

Western SARE: http://wsare.usu.edu

National SARE www.sare.org

# MEASURING N IN COVER CROPS

**OSU** mineralization model (http:// smallfarms.oregonstate.edu/

organic-fertilizercalculator) and PAN estimates from the most promising on-farm method were compared with PAN estimates from the standard laboratory method using regression analysis.

# Cover crops and testing methods:

The cover crops used for comparing the sampling methods included: crimson clover (all farms); cereal rye, common vetch and Austrian field peas (2 farms); Walken oats, common vetch and Aus-

peas (2 farms); Walken oata and common vetch (2 farms); Walken oats and

crimson clover (2 farms); cereal rye and Austrian field peas;

cereal rye ject field day. (1 farm)

and common vetch (1 farm).

We compared all on-farm methods to results from above-ground cover crop samples that were separated by species and analyzed in the laboratory for total C and N (separated species lab method). On-farm methods from the extension literature included:

- Bulk lab method: single lab analysis of aboveground samples of all species not separated
- Fresh weight method: • fresh weight of each species is multiplied by a

species and separated species lab methods. The bulked species method saves growers a lot of sampling time while still providing a good estimate of N content.

Average N content values used in the fresh weight method were adjusted with our data, and values used in the dry weight method were developed from our data. Comparisons between the fresh weight method and separated species method were strong (Adjusted R2 = 0.906, y0 = -0.086, slope = 0.993). Results using the dry

factor for average N content

- Dry weight method: dry weight of each species is multiplied by a factor for average N content
- Canopy method: canopy height and density are used to estimate the cover crop N

# Results

**Regression analysis** showed that the bulked species lab method gave very similar results to the separated species lab method (figure on first page). Further analysis using an OSU mineralization model showed that PAN estimates were also very similar between the bulked



Nick Andrews demonstrates cover crop sampling at a pro-

similar (Adjusted R2 = 0.932, y0 = -2.011, slope = 1.137). However, both of these methods required farmers to separate cover crop species, and participating farmers felt this was impractical during the spring for the dry weight method. Results from the canopy method were different from the separated species lab method (Adjusted R2 = 0.592, y0 = 61.963, slope = 0.905).

weight method were also

## Impacts and Benefits

The bulked species lab method has proved to be an efficient and reliable technique for estimating total N and PAN contributions from cover crops. The fresh weight and dry weight methods are useful if labs are unavailable, but they require separating individual cover crop species.

This work helps growers realize the benefits of cover crops. Organic farmers currently spend approximately \$4.00 per pound for PAN from fertilizers allowed for use on organic farms. Assuming a cover crop provides 50 Ibs PAN, cover crop PAN can be estimated to cost approximately \$0.80 per pound. We have been able to demonstrate a practical method for estimating cover crop N content that could save growers up to \$160/acre on fertilizer costs.

An understanding of the financial benefits of cover crops can help farmers justify the management time and effort that effective cover cropping requires. Improved cover cropping practices and increased use of cover crops will also increase organic matter content in agricultural soils and reduce erosion. In some cases, pest management and crop pollination may also be improved by enhanced use of cover crops.