



# RAISING SHRIMP IN THE DESERT



## Western SARE Program

Phil Rasmussen, Coordinator  
Utah State University  
Agricultural Science Building  
Room 305  
4865 Old Main Hill  
Logan, Utah 84322-4865  
phone: (435) 797-2257  
fax: (435) 797-3344

## Western SARE PDP

Rick Gibson  
Arizona PDP Coordinator  
University of Arizona Extension  
820 E Cottonwood Lane, Bldg. C  
Casa Grande, Arizona 85222  
(520) 836-5221 ext. 227  
gibsonrd@arizona.edu

## Western SARE Grant Categories

- Research & Education
- Professional Development
- Farmer/Rancher
- Professional + Producer
- Graduate Student
- Sustainable Farm Tours

Go to <http://wsare.usu.edu>  
Click on: Apply for a Grant

## Introduction

Demand for shrimp and high market value have led to rapid expansion in shrimp aquaculture. Use of coastal areas for aquaculture often conflicts with other users or impacts sensitive mangrove habitat. The high concentration of farms in coastal areas also leads to a self-polluting industry as nutrient-rich waters or disease exit one farm near the intake of the next. These factors have stimulated inquiries into the feasibility of inland low-salinity aquaculture operations.

Several studies have focused on water quality parameters and acclimation of marine shrimp for inland growth in low-salinity water. Reusing aquaculture effluent disposes of a nutrient-enriched waste stream while making multiple uses of water, improving sustainability of both agriculture and aquaculture practices. Land application on agricultural crops is a preferred method of aquaculture effluent disposal, but few

studies have investigated the contributions of irrigation with inland shrimp culture effluent.



## Objectives

1. Determine benefits of irrigating olives with low-salinity aquacultural effluents by measuring growth of trees
2. Determine any detrimental effects on soil caused by the application of saline irrigation water through the monitoring of soil salinity
3. Reduce the reliance on chemical fertilizers through the application of nutrient-rich aquacultural effluents
4. Quantify efficient utilization of scarce water resources through the multiple use of water for shrimp production and irrigation
5. Initiate an integrated aquaculture/agriculture extension program in Arizona by hosting an integrated agriculture field day, distributing a newsletter and developing a bulletin and website reporting findings

## Methods

A randomized complete block experimental plot was laid out on a commercial shrimp farm growing *Litopenaeus vannamei*, the Pacific white shrimp, in Gila

Bend, Arizona. Olive trees (one year old from cuttings) were planted in ten rows of twelve trees, on a 0.133 ha (0.329 acres). Trees were planted in the bottom of a single furrow 30 cm wide and 30 cm deep, and watered by flood irrigation. Tree height was measured monthly. The experimental plot was isolated from other olive groves and managed under standard farm procedures.

During shrimp production (June to October), effluent was used for irrigation. The well water + fertilization treatment groups received urea fertilizer applications (March through April) at rates of half (year one) and full (year two) the rate recommended in the literature for full grown olive trees. The rate in year one was reduced due to the initial size of the trees. Urea was mixed with well water before application in irrigation water. The rest of the year, all trees received well water.

Duplicate water samples were taken for each treatment during every irrigation event, to determine levels of ammonia-nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), nitrite-nitrogen (NO<sub>2</sub>-N), nitrate-nitrogen (NO<sub>3</sub>-N) total nitrogen, and salinity. Soil salinity was measured from 30 cm soil increments to 120 cm in depth at the beginning and end of the experiment. Analyses of water use, costs, and efficiency were incorporated into the conclusions

## Results

### Tree Growth

Growth of trees receiving effluent was not different

## Research & Education Grant

**Title:** Assessing Sustainability of Shrimp Aquaculture and Integration with a Field Crop

### Principal Investigator:

Kevin Fitzsimmons  
Professor & Ext. Specialist  
Dept. of Soil, Water & Environmental Sciences  
University of Arizona  
2601 E. Airport Drive  
Tucson, AZ 85706  
(520) 626-3324  
kevfitz@ag.arizona.edu

**Amount Funded:** \$68,523



*Western SARE, a USDA organization, funds grants for research and education that develop or promote some aspect of agricultural sustainability, which embraces*

- *profitable farms and ranches*
- *a healthy environment*
- *strong families and communities.*

*The Western Region, one of four SARE regions nationwide, is administered through Utah State University.*

**Western SARE:**  
<http://wsare.usu.edu>

**National SARE:**  
[www.sare.org](http://www.sare.org)

## RAISING SHRIMP IN THE DESERT

from the fertilizer or well water treatments (Figure 2). Trees receiving effluent averaged 61.0 cm of growth over the experiment, compared to 70.4 cm for the fertilizer and 48.4 cm for the well water treatment. Trees receiving well water treatment grew substantially less than the fertilizer treatments when comparing changes in growth from the beginning to the end of the experiment ( $F_{2, 62} = 3.19, p = 0.048$ , one-way ANOVA).

### *Nutrient enrichment and water use*

Mean nutrient content in irrigation waters varied greatly across treatments, causing mean TN values to differ from the summation of N constituents.  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  levels were comparable across treatments ( $F_{2, 73} = 0.127, p = 0.88$ ), but of significance was the high levels in the groundwater. Salinity varied little among the treatments throughout the study, ranging from 1.63 ppt to 1.86 ppt.

Total water applied was 159 cm (2,460 m<sup>3</sup> for the whole experimental plot). Total evapotranspiration (ET) over the two-year experiment was 405 cm, giving a crop coefficient (Kc) of 0.39. Effluent irrigation contributed 113 cm (71% of the total irrigation). In the first year, the fertilizer treatment received a total of 1.64 kg of urea per row (112 kg/ha) in 10 cm of well water over four applications. In the second year, 5.76 kg of urea was added per row (392 kg/ha) in 12.5 cm of well water over five applications. Water with fertilizer contributed 14.5% of the total irrigation for this treatment.

Nitrogen additions were extrapolated from water quality parameters and total water applied. Total nutrient additions — a summation of nutri-

ents in the treatments and in the well water the rest of the year — were highest in the fertilizer treatment.  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  additions were comparable across treatments, while  $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  were highest in the effluent treatment.

### **Conclusions**

#### *Benefits of irrigating olives with shrimp effluent*

Effluent irrigation did not significantly increase olive tree growth over the two-year study. However, tree growth with effluent irrigation was not significantly different than with fertilizer.

#### *Soil Salinity*

Soil salinity levels were highly variable but showed no trends of increasing with effluent irrigation.

#### *Nutrient enrichment*

Nitrogen parameters in the water were similar to those in previous studies of inland shrimp effluent. However, high nitrate-nitrogen levels in the groundwater distinguish well water at this site from other inland farms. While total nitrogen additions were highest in the fertilizer treatment, the effluent treatment contained the highest nitrate, nitrite and ammonia additions. TN additions were not different in the well water and effluent treatments, despite nitrogen additions in shrimp feed, possibly due to phytoplankton nitrogen assimilation. The timing of these additions likely impacts tree growth more than the total nitrogen contributions. The slow addition of plant available  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  over the course of the growing season may allow for constant nutrient uptake and more efficient assimilation.

#### *Water-use efficiency*

Effluent from low-salinity inland shrimp culture can be reused as a source of irrigation water, increasing water

use efficiency in arid lands as water is pumped once and used twice. On this farm, water exchange in the shrimp ponds is estimated at 1% per day. This contributes 2,725 m<sup>3</sup> of water for irrigation daily, or approximately 2,700 ha cm during the shrimp-growing season. As the ponds are drained at harvest, another 2,700 ha cm of irrigation water is made available. This is enough water to irrigate nearly 48 ha of mature olive trees ( $K_c=0.75$ ) annually. This water use is based on a ratio of approximately 15 ha of shrimp ponds and 162 ha of olives. Reducing water consumption will lead to economic savings in electrical or water costs. So while nutrient additions from inland shrimp effluent are minimal, financial savings in water costs and increased water-use efficiency make inland shrimp production a valuable option for integration with existing irrigated agriculture. Water management, from sizing optimal ratios of ponds to field crops to practices leading to availability of water for irrigation throughout the year is critical for maximizing benefits of inland shrimp effluent reuse for field crop irrigation.

### **Future research**

Testing a broader range of water and soil nutrient parameters to include micronutrients, organic matter and ash could provide better understanding of contributions of effluent to field crops. This may help quantify contributions of algal biomass to soil nutrients and composition. Test plots of other field crops would provide more complete information on water use and production with effluent irrigation. Testing other crops will also provide yield results, which was difficult for the olive, which take up to eight years to bear fruit.